



Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change to Amend Its Price List

September 13, 2022.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)<sup>1</sup> of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)<sup>2</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>3</sup> notice is hereby given that, on September 1, 2022, New York Stock Exchange LLC (“NYSE” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its Price List to (1) increase the credit for orders designated as “retail” that add liquidity to the Exchange, and (2) amend the requirements for charges that remove liquidity from the Exchange. The Exchange proposes to implement the fee changes effective September 1, 2022. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at [www.nyse.com](http://www.nyse.com), at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and

---

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78a.

<sup>3</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Price List to (1) increase the credit for orders designated as “retail” that add liquidity to the Exchange, and (2) amend the requirements for charges that remove liquidity from the Exchange.

The proposed changes respond to the current competitive environment where order flow providers have a choice of where to direct liquidity-providing and liquidity-removing orders by offering further incentives for member organizations to send additional liquidity to the Exchange.

The Exchange proposes to implement the fee changes effective September 1, 2022.

Competitive Environment

The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market. The Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system “has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.”<sup>4</sup>

While Regulation NMS has enhanced competition, it has also fostered a “fragmented” market structure where trading in a single stock can occur across multiple trading centers. When multiple trading centers compete for order flow in the same stock, the Commission has recognized that “such competition can lead to the fragmentation of order flow in that stock.”<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005) (File No. S7-10-04) (Final Rule) (“Regulation NMS”).

<sup>5</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 61358, 75 FR 3594, 3597 (January 21, 2010)

Indeed, cash equity trading is currently dispersed across 16 exchanges,<sup>6</sup> numerous alternative trading systems,<sup>7</sup> and broker-dealer internalizers and wholesalers, all competing for order flow. Based on publicly-available information, no single exchange currently has more than 17% market share.<sup>8</sup> Therefore, no exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of cash equity order flow. More specifically, the Exchange's share of executed volume of equity trades in Tapes A, B and C securities is less than 12%.<sup>9</sup>

The Exchange believes that the ever-shifting market share among the exchanges from month to month demonstrates that market participants can move order flow, or discontinue or reduce use of certain categories of products. While it is not possible to know a firm's reason for shifting order flow, the Exchange believes that one such reason is because of fee changes at any of the registered exchanges or non-exchange venues to which the firm routes order flow. Accordingly, competitive forces compel the Exchange to use exchange transaction fees and credits because market participants can readily trade on competing venues if they deem pricing levels at those other venues to be more favorable.

In response to this competitive environment, the Exchange has established incentives for member organizations who submit orders that provide liquidity on the Exchange. The Exchange has also established incentives for member organizations to remove liquidity from the Exchange. As detailed below, the proposed higher credits and revised requirements are intended to attract additional order flow to a public exchange and increase the quality of order execution on the

---

(File No. S7-02-10) (Concept Release on Equity Market Structure).

<sup>6</sup> See Cboe U.S Equities Market Volume Summary, available at [https://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/market\\_share](https://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/market_share). See generally <https://www.sec.gov/fast-answers/divisionsmarketregmrexchangesshtml.html>.

<sup>7</sup> See FINRA ATS Transparency Data, *available at* <https://otctransparency.finra.org/otctransparency/AtsIssueData>. A list of alternative trading systems registered with the Commission is *available at* <https://www.sec.gov/foia/docs/atlist.htm>.

<sup>8</sup> See Cboe Global Markets U.S. Equities Market Volume Summary, available at [https://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/market\\_share/](https://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/market_share/).

<sup>9</sup> See *id.*

Exchange's marketplace, which benefits all market participants.

### Proposed Rule Change

#### Proposed Increase to Credit for Retail Orders that Add Liquidity

The Exchange currently provides a \$0.0030 per share credit for all orders, other than MPL and Non-Display Reserve Orders,<sup>10</sup> with a "retail" modifier<sup>11</sup> ("Retail Order") that add liquidity to the Exchange. Similarly, the Exchange currently provides a \$0.0030 per share for Supplementary Liquidity Providers ("SLPs") adding liquidity to the NYSE with Retail Orders in securities with a per share price of \$1.00 or more.<sup>12</sup> Finally, the Exchange offers a \$0.0030 per share rebate for executions in Retail Orders that add liquidity to the Exchange in Tape B and C securities.

The Exchange proposes to increase the credit for Retail Orders that add liquidity to the Exchange to \$0.0032 per share, from the current level of \$0.0030 per share. The Exchange proposes this change in part because it would be consistent with the applicable rate on other marketplaces. For instance, the base credit for retail orders adding liquidity on Cboe BZX and Cboe EDGX is \$0.0032 per share.<sup>13</sup> The Exchange's affiliates NYSE American LLC and NYSE Arca Equities also similarly offers the same non-tiered credit of \$0.0032 per share for retail orders adding liquidity.<sup>14</sup>

---

<sup>10</sup> The Exchange proposes the non-substantive, clarifying change of adding a comma following "Non-Display Reserve orders" in this section of the Price List.

<sup>11</sup> "Retail modifier" is defined in Rule 7.31(i)(6).

<sup>12</sup> The Exchange proposes a second non-substantive clarifying change in this section of the Price List to replace the obsolete phrase "designated as 'retail' (*i.e.*, orders that satisfy the Retail Modifier requirements of Rule 13" following "order" with the phrase "with a Retail Modifier".

<sup>13</sup> See Cboe BZX Price List at [https://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee\\_schedule/bzx/](https://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee_schedule/bzx/) and Cboe EDGX Price List at [https://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee\\_schedule/edgx/](https://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee_schedule/edgx/).

<sup>14</sup> See NYSE American Equities Price List at [https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/nyse-american/NYSE\\_America\\_Equities\\_Price\\_List.pdf](https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/nyse-american/NYSE_America_Equities_Price_List.pdf); NYSE Arca Equities Price List at [https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/nyse-arca/NYSE\\_Arca\\_Marketplace\\_Fees.pdf](https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/nyse-arca/NYSE_Arca_Marketplace_Fees.pdf).

In addition, the proposed change is intended to encourage greater participation from member organizations and to promote additional liquidity in Retail Orders. The competition for retail order flow between exchanges and off-exchange venues is fierce, and market participants can readily trade on competing venues if they deem pricing levels at those other venues to be more favorable. The Exchange believes that the proposed increase credit for orders that add liquidity to the Exchange could lead to more member organizations choosing to route their Retail Orders to the Exchange for execution rather than to a competing exchange.

The Exchange, however, does not know how much Retail Order flow member organizations choose to route to other exchanges or to off-exchange venues. Without having a view of member organization's activity on other markets and off-exchange venues, the Exchange has no way of knowing whether this proposed rule change would result in any member organizations sending more Retail Orders to the Exchange. The Exchange cannot predict with certainty how many member organizations would avail themselves of this opportunity, but additional Retail Orders would benefit all market participants because it would provide greater execution opportunities on the Exchange.

The proposed rule change is designed to be available to all member organizations on the Exchange and is intended to provide member organizations a greater incentive to direct more of their Retail Orders to the Exchange.

The Exchange does not propose any changes to its Retail Order rates.

#### Charges for Removing Liquidity

Currently, the Exchange offers a fee of \$0.00295 for Tape A securities and \$0.00285 for Tape B and C securities for non-Floor broker transactions where the member organization has an average daily volume ("ADV") that adds liquidity to the Exchange during the billing month ("Adding ADV"),<sup>15</sup> excluding liquidity added by a DMM, that is at least 250,000 ADV on the

---

<sup>15</sup> The terms "ADV" and "CADV" are defined in footnote \* of the Price List.

NYSE in Tape A securities. The Exchange proposes to delete this tier and its related fees in their entirety.

In addition, the Exchange currently offers a fee of \$0.00290 in Tape A securities and a fee of \$0.00285 for Tape B and C securities for non-Floor broker transactions if the member organization has an Adding ADV, excluding liquidity added by a DMM, that is at least 3,500,000 ADV on the NYSE in Tape A securities. The Exchange proposes to lower the Tape A ADV requirement from the current 3,500,000 ADV on the NYSE in Tape A securities to 2,000,000 ADV on the NYSE in Tape A securities. The current fees would remain unchanged. Member organizations that do not qualify for the current fee based on the proposed lower ADV requirement receive the \$0.0030 base remove rate for all tapes.

The Exchange believes that the proposed changes, taken together, will incentivize submission of additional liquidity in Tape A, B and Tape C securities to a public exchange, thereby promoting price discovery and transparency and enhancing order execution opportunities for member organizations. As noted above, the Exchange operates in a competitive environment, particularly as it relates to attracting non-marketable orders, which add liquidity to the Exchange. The Exchange does not know how much order flow member organizations choose to route to other exchanges or to off-exchange venues. The Exchange does not know how much order flow member organizations choose to route to other exchanges or to off-exchange venues. The Exchange believes that 5 or more member organizations that don't qualify today could qualify for the tiered rates if the volume requirement is lowered based on their current trading profile on the Exchange and if they choose to direct order flow to the NYSE. However, without having a view of member organization's activity on other exchanges and off-exchange venues, the Exchange has no way of knowing whether this proposed rule change would result in any member organization directing orders to the Exchange.

The proposed changes are not otherwise intended to address any other issues, and the Exchange is not aware of any significant problems that market participants would have in complying with the proposed changes.

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>16</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and (5) of the Act,<sup>17</sup> in particular, because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members, issuers and other persons using its facilities, is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices and to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers.

### The Proposed Fee Change Is Reasonable

As discussed above, the Exchange operates in a highly fragmented and competitive market. The Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. Specifically, in Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system “has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.”<sup>18</sup>

The Exchange believes that the ever-shifting market share among the exchanges from month to month demonstrates that market participants can shift order flow, or discontinue to reduce use of certain categories of products, in response to fee changes. Member organizations can choose from any one of the 16 currently operating registered exchanges, and numerous off-exchange venues, to route such order flow. Accordingly, competitive forces constrain exchange

---

<sup>16</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>17</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

<sup>18</sup> See Regulation NMS, supra note 4, 70 FR at 37499.

transaction fees that relate to orders on an exchange. Stated otherwise, changes to exchange transaction fees can have a direct effect on the ability of an exchange to compete for order flow.

Given this competitive environment, the proposal represents a reasonable attempt to attract additional order flow to the Exchange by adjusting the incentives for all market participants to send additional order flow to a public exchange and increase the quality of order execution on the Exchange's market, which benefits all market participants.

#### Proposed Increase to Credit for Retail Orders that Add Liquidity

The Exchange believes that the proposed increase to the credit for Retail Orders that add liquidity to the Exchange is reasonable. The Exchange operates in a fiercely competitive environment, particularly with regard to retail orders. As noted above, several of the Exchange's competitors offer base credits for retail orders adding liquidity that are higher (i.e., \$0.0032 credit per share) than the Exchange's current credit (\$0.0030 credit per share). The Exchange believes that this proposal to increase its credit for Retail Orders adding liquidity to the Exchange represents a reasonable attempt to attract additional Retail Orders to the Exchange, thereby increasing liquidity on the Exchange, to the benefit of all market participants. In addition, the Exchange believes that attracting higher volumes of Retail Orders to be transacted on the Exchange by member organizations would benefit all market participants by offering greater price discovery and an increased opportunity to trade on the Exchange.

Without having a view of member organization activity on other markets and off-exchange venues, the Exchange has no way of knowing whether this proposed rule change would result in any member organization sending more of Retail Orders to the Exchange, nor can the Exchange predict with certainty how many member organizations would avail themselves of the opportunity presented by the revised credit. Additional Retail Orders on the Exchange would benefit all market participants because they would provide greater execution opportunities on the Exchange.

#### Charges for Removing Liquidity



The Exchange believes that the proposal to delete certain fees, and lowering the ADV requirement, for transactions that remove liquidity from the Exchange in Tape A, B and C securities are reasonable. The purpose of these changes is to encourage additional liquidity on the Exchange because market participants benefit from the greater amounts of displayed liquidity present on a public exchange. The Exchange believes that the proposed lower ADV requirement will incentivize additional liquidity to a public exchange to qualify for lower fees for removing liquidity in Tape A, B and Tape C securities, thereby promoting price discovery and transparency and enhancing order execution opportunities for member organizations. The proposal is thus reasonable because all member organizations would benefit from such increased levels of liquidity.

#### Non-Substantive Changes

Finally, the Exchange believes the proposed non-substantive clarifying and conforming changes described above<sup>19</sup> are reasonable and would not be inconsistent with the public interest and the protection of investors because investors will not be harmed and in fact would benefit from increased clarity and transparency on the Price List, thereby reducing potential confusion.

#### The Proposed Change Is an Equitable Allocation of Fees and Credits

The Exchange believes its proposal equitably allocates its fees among its market participants by fostering liquidity provision and stability in the marketplace.

#### Proposed Increase to Credit for Retail Orders that Add Liquidity

The Exchange believes that its proposal to increase the credit available for Retail Orders that add liquidity to the exchange equitably allocates its fees among market participants because all member organizations that participate on the Exchange may qualify for the proposed credit if they elect to send their Retail Orders to the Exchange and properly designate them as Retail Orders.

---

<sup>19</sup> See notes 10 & 12, supra.

The Exchange further believes that the proposed change is equitable because it is reasonably related to the value to the Exchange's market quality associated with higher volume in Retail Orders. The Exchange believes that increasing the credit available for orders designated as Retail Orders would attract additional order flow and liquidity to the Exchange, thereby contributing to price discovery on the Exchange and benefiting investors generally.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is equitable because maintaining or increasing the proportion of Retail Orders in exchange-listed securities that are executed on a registered national securities exchange (rather than relying on certain available off-exchange execution methods) would benefit all investors by deepening the Exchange's liquidity pool, supporting the quality of price discovery, promoting market transparency, and improving investor protection.

#### Charges for Removing Liquidity

The Exchange believes that, for the reasons discussed above, the proposed changes taken together, will incentivize member organizations to send additional adding liquidity to achieve lower fees when removing liquidity in Tape A, B and Tape C securities from the Exchange, thereby increasing the number of orders that are executed on the Exchange, promoting price discovery and transparency and enhancing order execution opportunities and improving overall liquidity on a public exchange. The Exchange also believes that the proposed change is equitable because it would apply to all similarly situated member organizations that remove liquidity in Tape A, B or Tape C securities. As previously noted, the Exchange operates in a competitive environment, particularly as it relates to attracting non-marketable orders, which add liquidity to the Exchange. The Exchange does not know how much order flow member organizations choose to route to other exchanges or to off-exchange venues. As noted above, the Exchange believes that additional member organizations could qualify for the tiered rates if the volume requirement is lowered based on their current trading profile on the Exchange and if they choose to direct order flow to the NYSE. However, without having a view of member

organization's activity on other exchanges and off-exchange venues, the Exchange has no way of knowing whether this proposed rule change would result in any member organization directing orders to the Exchange in order to qualify for the new tier.

#### The Proposed Fee Change Is Not Unfairly Discriminatory

The Exchange believe that the proposed rule is not unfairly discriminatory, for the following reasons.

#### Proposed Increase to Credit for Retail Orders that Add Liquidity

The Exchange believes that its proposal to increase the credit for Retail Orders that add liquidity to the Exchange is not unfairly discriminatory because it would apply to all member organizations on an equal and non-discriminatory basis, and all similarly-situated member organizations would earn the same credits and pay the same fees for Retail Orders executed on the Exchange. In addition, the submission of Retail Orders is optional for member organizations in that they could choose whether to submit Retail Orders to the Exchange and, if they do, they can choose the extent of their activity in this regard.

The Exchange believes that the proposed change is not unfairly discriminatory because maintaining or increasing the proportion of Retail Orders in exchange-listed securities that are executed on a registered national securities exchange (rather than relying on certain available off-exchange execution methods) would contribute to investors' confidence in the fairness of their transactions and would benefit all investors by deepening the Exchange's liquidity pool, supporting the quality of price discovery, promoting market transparency, and improving investor protection.

#### Charges for Removing Liquidity

The Exchange believes that that the proposed changes the charges for member organizations that remove liquidity in all three tapes will, taken together, incentivize submission of additional liquidity in Tape A, B and Tape C securities to a public exchange to qualify for the fees for removing liquidity, thereby promoting price discovery and transparency and enhancing

order execution opportunities for member organizations. The proposal does not permit unfair discrimination because the new ADV requirement for removing liquidity in Tape A, B and C securities would be applied to all similarly situated member organizations and other market participants, who would all be eligible for the same credit on an equal basis. Accordingly, no member organization already operating on the Exchange would be disadvantaged by this allocation of fees. The Exchange believes it is not unfairly discriminatory to provide lower fees for removing liquidity as the proposed fee and credits would be provided on an equal basis to all member organizations that remove liquidity by meeting the tiered requirements. Further, the Exchange believes the proposed fee would provide an incentive for member organizations to remove additional liquidity from the Exchange in Tape A, B and C securities. The Exchange also believes that the proposed change is not unfairly discriminatory because it is reasonably related to the value to the Exchange's market quality associated with higher volume. As noted, the proposed change also is not unfairly discriminatory because it would be consistent with the applicable rate on other marketplaces.

In addition, the submission of orders to the Exchange is optional for member organizations in that they could choose whether to submit orders to the Exchange and, if they do, the extent of its activity in this regard.

Finally, the Exchange believes that it is subject to significant competitive forces, as described below in the Exchange's statement regarding the burden on competition.

For the foregoing reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with the Act.

**B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition**

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act,<sup>20</sup> the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in

---

<sup>20</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Instead, as discussed above, the Exchange believes that the proposed fee change would encourage the submission of additional liquidity to a public exchange, thereby promoting market depth, price discovery, and transparency and enhancing order execution opportunities for market participants. As a result, the Exchange believes that the proposed change furthers the Commission's goal in adopting Regulation NMS of fostering integrated competition among orders, which promotes "more efficient pricing of individual stocks for all types of orders, large and small."<sup>21</sup>

*Intramarket Competition.* The Exchange believes the proposed change would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed change is designed to attract additional orders to the Exchange. The Exchange believes that the proposed changes would incentivize market participants to direct their orders to the Exchange. Greater overall order flow, trading opportunities, and pricing transparency benefit all market participants on the Exchange by enhancing market quality and continuing to encourage member organizations to send orders, thereby contributing towards a robust and well-balanced market ecosystem. The current and proposed fees and credits would be available to all similarly situated market participants, and, as such, the proposed change would not impose a disparate burden on competition among market participants on the Exchange. As noted, the proposal would apply to all similarly situated member organizations on the same and equal terms, who would benefit from the changes on the same basis. Accordingly, the proposed change would not impose a disparate burden on competition among market participants on the Exchange.

*Intermarket Competition.* The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily choose to send their orders to other exchange and off-exchange venues if they deem fee levels at those other venues to be more favorable. In such an

---

<sup>21</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808, 70 FR 37495, 37498-99 (June 29, 2005) (S7-10-04) (Final Rule).

environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees and rebates to remain competitive with other exchanges and with off-exchange venues. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees and credits in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange does not believe its proposed fee change can impose any burden on intermarket competition.

The Exchange believes that the proposed change could promote competition between the Exchange and other execution venues, including those that currently offer similar order types and comparable transaction pricing, by encouraging additional orders to be sent to the Exchange for execution.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change is effective upon filing pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)<sup>22</sup> of the Act and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4<sup>23</sup> thereunder, because it establishes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)<sup>24</sup> of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

---

<sup>22</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>23</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

<sup>24</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-NYSE-2022-42 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSE-2022-42. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All

submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSE-2022-42 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>25</sup>

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,  
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022-20150 Filed: 9/16/2022 8:45 am; Publication Date: 9/19/2022]

---

<sup>25</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).